UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,840	07/01/2005	Hendrikus Markus Veltman	262469US6PCT	7212
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			ROBERTS, JESSICA M	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/519,840	VELTMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	JESSICA ROBERTS	2621
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ja This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or	relection requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior application from the Internation for a list of the prior application from the Internation for a list of the prior application from the Internation for a list of the prior application from the Internation for a list of the prior application from the Internation for a list of the prior application from the Internation for a list of the prior application from the Internation for a list of the prior application from the Internation for a list of the prior application from the Internation for a list of the prior application from the Internation for a list of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/12/2005.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koto et al., JP-09-247670.

As to claim 1, Koto teaches An encoding apparatus for executing an encoding process with an encoding system capable of treating at least B-pictures as pictures for inter-prediction-encoding, the encoding apparatus comprising: timing calculation means (In the packet-zing treating part 33, and 34-1-34-n, packet-zing, calculation of a time stamp, section of the order of multiplexing, etc, are4 made using these side information, [0022]) for, anticipating that a plurality of encoded information created by performing the encoding process ([0018], [0041] and fig. 1 elements 15 and 16) will be sequentially decoded on a decoding side (DTS: decoding time stamp, [0026]), calculating output timing for results of decoding the encoded information (PTS: presentation time stamp, [0026]); and timing notification means for notifying said decoding side of output timing calculated by said timing calculation means before a result of decoding corresponding encoded information is obtained ([0034]).

Page 3

As to **claim 2**, Koto teaches the encoding apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said timing calculation means (In the packet-zing treating part 33, and 34-1-34-n, packet-zing, calculation of a time stamp, section of the order of multiplexing, etc, are made using these side information, [0022]) calculates the output timing for the results of decoding the encoded information (PTS) so as to immediately output a result of decoding encoded information having a longest period of time out of periods of time after the encoding process is started until encoded information is outputted.

As to **claim 3**, see the rejection and analysis made in **claim 1**, except this is a method claim to the apparatus of **claim 1**. Thus the rejection and analysis made for claim also applies.

As to **claim 4**, see the rejection and analysis made in **claim 2**, except this is a method claim to the apparatus of **claim 2**. Thus the rejection and analysis made for claim also applies.

3. Claim 5, 8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shigeru et al., JP-149464.

As to **claim 5**, Shigeru teaches A decoding apparatus for executing a decoding process on a plurality of encoded information encoded with an encoding system capable of at least B-pictures for inter-prediction-encoding, said decoding apparatus

comprising: storage means for temporarily storing restored image information sequentially created by the decoding process ([0007],fig. 4 elements 60, 72-74, and fig. 1 elements 60, 72-74); and output control means for controlling output of the restored image information stored in said storage means (Shigeru teaches where the fame memory reading-and-writing control section 64 controls a reproduced image output [0022] and fig. 4 element 64), wherein said output control means ([0022] and fig. 4 element 64), when restored image information (figs. 4,1 elements 60) to be stored in said storage means (figs. 4, 1 elements 72-74) is failed, re-outputs restored image information outputted just before the failure ([0023]).

As to **claim 8**, see the rejection and analysis made in **claim 5**, except this is a method claim to the apparatus of **claim 5**. Thus the rejection and analysis made for claim also applies.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

Art Unit: 2621

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 6, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shigeru et al. JP-8-149464 in view of Masuo et al., JP-9-9258.

As to **claim 6**, Shigeru is silent in regards to the decoding apparatus according to claim 5, wherein: said storage means temporarily stores each piece of the encoded information and said output control means ignores a decoding start time set for first encoded information stored in said storage means, immediately starts decoding of the first encoded information and, when a failure occurs, offsets a lag from the decoding start time occurred due to the ignorance, by re-outputting restored image information outputted just before the failure.

However, Masuo teaches said storage means temporally stores each piece of the encoded information ([0027] and fig. 6) and said output control means ignores a decoding start time set for first encoded information in said storage means ([0026, [0030]]), immediately starts decoding the first time occurred due to the ignorance, by reoutputting restored image information outputted just before the failure ([0038]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Masuo with Shigeru for providing improved image quality.

information having a different order.

As to **claim 7**, Shigeru is silent in regards to the decoding apparatus according to claim 5, wherein: said storage means temporarily stores each piece of the encoded information: and said output control means, when a storing order of encoded information being stored in said storage means is different from an order before the encoding, re-outputs restored image information corresponding to the encoded

Page 6

However, Masuo teaches said storage means temporarily stores each piece of the encoded information ([0027] and fig. 6); and said output control means, when a storing order of encoded information being stored in said storage means is different from an order before the encoding, re-outputs restored image information corresponding to the encoded information having a different order ([0035]).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Masuo with Shigeru for providing improved image quality.

As to **claim 9**, see there rejection and analysis for claim 6, except this is claim to a method with the same limitation as the apparatus of claim 6. Thus the rejection and analysis made for claim 6 also applies here.

Art Unit: 2621

As to **claim 10**, see the rejection and analysis made in **claim 7**, except this is method claim to the apparatus of claim 7. Thus the rejection and analysis made for claim 7 also applies here.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JESSICA ROBERTS whose telephone number is (571)270-1821. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 EST Monday-Friday, Alt Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha D. Banks-Harold can be reached on (571) 272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2621

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Jessica Roberts/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621